RATIFY NEW AGREEMENT WITH CITYSPAN TECHNOLOGIES FOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONS FOR EXTENDED LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES AND CASE MANAGEMENT FOR STUDENT TRANSITION PROGRAMS

ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented ement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
ervices at an estimated annual cost set forth in the Compensation Section lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented ement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
lected on a non-competitive basis: the sole-source request was presented rement Review Committee and approved by the Chief Procurement Officer.
wa camilaan in numambu kaina mambiatad. Na namilaan ahall ka :idd-b
or's services is currently being negotiated. No services shall be provided by be made to Vendor prior to the execution of their written agreement. The
be made to vendor prior to the execution of their written agreement. The
Je made to ver

TERM:

The term of this agreement shall commence on July 1, 2015 and shall end June 30, 2016. This agreement shall have two (2) options to renew for periods of one (1) year each.

EARLY TERMINATION RIGHT:

The Board shall have the right to terminate this agreement with 30 days written notice.

SCOPE OF SERVICES:

Cityspan Technologies will serve as a supplemental ITS software vendor for the Chicago Public Schools. CitySpan Technologies will provide system-wide features, provider-level features, as well as collect

CitySpan Technologies will generate summary reports that meet program and funding requirements of CPS.

In addition to student-level program participation data, CitySpan Technologies will also implement custom case management functionalities to track supports provided to students served by the Juvenile Justice Transition Support Team and the Student Outreach and Re-engagement (SOAR) Centers.

9. ISP (Individual Success Plans) (60 hrs.):	
Student-level annualized edoc instances that can be shared across schools (contains	attendance
academic behavior etc.) development of congrete intervention module to treek ICD a	marran /na

shared). and develop reporting

10. ECCE (Early Childhood) (20 hrs.):

Build out group intervention module to allow tracking of ECCE programs, customized attendance reports, and tracking assessments.

11. Thrive Data Exports (30 hrs.):

Provide student, staff, activity, and attendance data to FTP on a scheduled basis, and modify scripts to incorporate system / specification changes.

12. CPS - Title 1 Programs (295 hrs.):

Set up provider sites, set up provider record and work plan per school (number of youth served, program design, etc, approval), set up school sites, set up work plan (total number of youth served, program design, etc), develop student record, develop student learning plan, develop student data upload protocol and assign youth to providers (3 rounds), upload assessment data (post grades separate file), develop

			_				_	
_		·			IC	1 4		
-	ŀГ	v	4	N	и.	16	M	

Funds 332 and 324, Information and Technology Services, Unit #12510, \$350,000.00, FY16

CFDA#:

Not Applicable

