## S. III: NEW MATTER OF <u>COREY H. v. BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO, et al.</u> CASE NO. 92 C 3409

Estvan, Bonita L. Case N	ds to nav for the court appointed monitor Industriase the Chapman, and Jan Hicks in the matter of Corey H. v. B	oard of Education of th	e City of Chicago,
	h&Bandes Bacches spakes starte a still messagered er: Rursuantito Court order dated January in 6, 1998 jithe		
	ine pendency of the Settlement Agreement,		ກກາອເບອາ was ກ່ຽວວາກເຮັດເວັດທາ Wonitor to eversee cor
	notexceed \$170 000 annually. We request		
rthe	authorization to accept monies in the amount expenses of the monitor and assistants.		animois State Board of Education to pr
LSC REVIEW; LSC ap	proval iş not appliçable to this report.	AFFIRMATIVE	E ACTION STATUS: None.
	pproval iş not appliçable to this report.	AFFIRMATIVE FINANCIAL:	Charge \$170,000 to Law Departme
nt - General Education Fund	ρργογαΙ iş not applicable to this report.		Charge \$170,000 to Law Departme Professional Services:
	οργογαί iş not applicable to this report.  d 0014-210-000-1011-5410	FINANCIAL:	Charge \$170,000 to Law Departme Professional Services: Budget Classification Fiscal Year 2
nt - General Education Fund	οργογαl iş not appliçable to this report. d	FINANCIAL:	Charge \$170,000 to Law Departme Professional Services:
nt - General Education Fund	οργογαl iş not appliçable to this report. d	FINANCIAL:	Charge \$170,000 to Law Departme Professional Services: Budget Classification Fiscal Year 2 annience: according